

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/087,190		02/28/2002	Pia M. Challita-Eid	511582003420	7796
36327	7590	08/22/2006		EXAMINER	
AGENSYS	C/O M	ORRISON & FOEF	BLANCHARD, DAVID J		
12531 HIGH SUITE 100	i BLUFF	DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN DIEGO, CA 92130-2040				1643	

DATE MAILED: 08/22/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/087,190	CHALLITA-EID ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
David J. Blanchard	1643		

	David J. Blanchard	1643					
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):							
(1) <u>David J. Blanchard</u> .	(3)						
(2) James J. Mullen III, Ph. D.	(4)						
Date of Interview: 17 August 2006.							
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	·)					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.						
Claim(s) discussed: Filed with the RCE on 6/21/06.							
Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.							
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	)☐ was not reached. h)☐ N	I/A.					
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)							
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE A INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW ASTATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW ON reverse side or on attached sheet.	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, Y	been filed, APP OAYS FROM T WHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO				

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The RCE filed 21 June 2006 presents claims to multiple distinct inventions not previously under consideration in the present application. Applicants cannot file an RCE to obtain continued examination on the basis of claims that are independent and distinct from the claims previously claimed and examined as a matter of right (i.e., applicant cannot switch inventions) (see MPEP 706.07(h). However, the examiner and applicant have agreed to switch the invention in the RCE as long as the claims are limited to a single invention in the interest of compact prosecution. Applicant will submit a supplemental amendment restricting the claims in the RCE to a single invention for further consideration by the examiner.